

E-mail exchange between AAG Thomas James and Lauren Scheffers

Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2012 17:03:49 -0500

To: "James, Thomas P." <TJames@atg.state.il.us>

From: Lauren Scheffers <LaurenScheffers@yahoo.com>

Subject: ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT SEEKING COMMENT ON PROPOSALS TO IMPROVE FORECLOSURE PROCEEDINGS, April 4, 2012

Cc: "William McAlister" <bill.mcalister@il.cslegal.com>, "Codilis and Associates, P.C." <codilis-il@il.cslegal.com>, "Rex E. Schlaybaugh, Jr." <rschlaybaugh@dykema.com>, pstanton@dykema.com, ajonker@dykema.com, jdougherty@dykema.com, countyboard@willcountyillinois.com, countyexec@willcountyillinois.com, "Dunn, Martin, Miller & Heathcock" <marmil4@sbcglobal.net>, "Morrie Much" <mmuch@muchshelist.com>, "Robert J. Emanuel" <remanuel@muchshelist.com>, "Terry L. Engel" <engel@dlec.com>, "Paul M. Levy" <levy@dlec.com>, "Joel A. Stein" <stein@dlec.com>, "Freedman Anselmo Lindberg" <foreclosures@fal-illinois.com>, "FAI-Illinois" <fal-illinois.com@domainsbyproxy.com>

Mr. James,

I received notice of the Supreme Court of Illinois Press Release (see the attached ILSCFCProposalHearing120427.pdf) about the April 27, 2012 hearing "seeking comment on proposals to improve foreclosure proceedings" via a Google Alert yesterday.

Note that no *property owners* were involved with the meetings, although an unspecified Illinois Attorney General representative allegedly was.

After a full year, are the 9 proposals to "improve foreclosure proceedings" (see attached ILSCFCProposals120427.pdf) any improvement at all?

The primary issue I raised in my appeals through two Appellate Courts to the Illinois Supreme Court is the jurisdiction issue as to whether a securitized loan can elect to enforce that security under the Illinois Mortgage Foreclosure Law AT ALL, since mortgage-backed security trusts are not land trusts and mortgages are not real estate installment contracts.

Yet, the 9 proposals do not address securitized loans in that context AT ALL.

Even if the 9 proposals were all implemented, where is the enforcement?

The Florida Supreme Court made similar changes to foreclosure proceedings, but the changes are simply ignored by the Plaintiff counsel and by the judges.

Does the Illinois Attorney General representative who participated in the meetings know of the lawsuit against Nationwide Title Clearing and the fraudulent assignments in the Illinois property records?

The Exhibits used in the May 25, 2011 subpoena were from my two foreclosure cases AS

SUBMITTED IN PLEADINGS IN BOTH CASES.

Yet, both Will County and DuPage County judges granted Plaintiff Motions for Summary Judgment with "no material issues of fact".

Note: Judge Robert Gibson of DuPage County, one of those "invited" by the Illinois Supreme Court to be a participating committee member, was just easily voted out as a (foreclosure) judge in the March 20, 2012 Illinois primary election.

See the proposed affidavits, V1 and V2:

1. The first one, V1 (see attached ILSCFCproposed_affidavit-V1-120427.pdf):
 - a. Does not require an *Illinois* notary (when I believe the Illinois Statute of Frauds requires wet ink signatures when real estate is involved)
 - b. "If called to testify at the trial of the above entitled case"
2. The second one, V2 (see attached ILSCFCProposed_affidavit-V2-as0427.pdf):
 - a. *Does* require an *Illinois* notary
 - b. "If called to testify at the trial of the above entitled case"
 - c. Look at the *footnote* of the 2nd one:

"This affidavit provides a form for establishing only the amounts due and owing on the borrower's loan. It is not intended to relieve the foreclosing party from establishing other evidentiary requirements in connection with proving the allegations contained in its complaint as appropriate, including but not limited to the party's right to enforce the instrument of indebtedness if applicable"

WHAT "TRIALS" - the judges are granting Summary Judgments regardless of material issues of fact relative to chain of title PER THE PROPERTY RECORDS.

Question: Has there ever been a single foreclosure TRIAL in Illinois?

"Evidentiary requirements" - what are those?? Production of the original note and the original mortgage in open court as required by the Illinois Mortgage Foreclosure Law (no original mortgage was produced in either of my cases)? Proof of a valid chain of title relative to the right to enforce as required by the Illinois Commercial Code and the Illinois Conveyances Act (per the Subpoena, there is no enforceable chain of title in either of my two cases)?

Mr. James, I previously submitted to you the sole Certificate of Prove-Up in the James Faber foreclosure case, 09CH004310 (see attached Faber20100106CertofProve-Up.pdf). It only includes costs related to the foreclosure sale, no amounts related to the underlying debt as listed in either of the proposed affidavits, V1 and V2.

Yet, with no evidentiary submissions by William McAlister/Codilis & Associates, Judge Siegel personally, with full knowledge, committed a Class 1 Felony with the February 29, 2012 Order granting a Personal Deficiency Judgment of \$131,200, in addition to the sale proceeds of \$112,000 for the property.

Mr. James, given the extensive competent evidence I have repeatedly submitted to you relative to **TREASON BY THE JUSTICES OF THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT** (see the March

23, 2012 e-mail below that documents those many e-mails, also filed as part of the public record with the 12th Judicial Circuit Court of Will County), the Justices of the Illinois Supreme Court, the 3rd Appellate Court, and the 2nd Appellate Court have made it perfectly clear that the current Rule of Law is irrelevant in Illinois.

So, why would any "improvements" relative to foreclosure proceedings be followed by the Circuit Courts, the Appellate Courts, or the Supreme Court in the State of Illinois, either?

Mr. James, would any "improvements" in the Supreme Court Rules or the Illinois Civil Statutes have any impact on Judge Siegel's judicial misconduct/felonious behavior, including allowing Judge Siegel's signature to be FORGED on court orders by William McAlister/Codilis & Associates?

If the Illinois Attorney General gets a court order relative to Nationwide Title Clearing for the \$50,000 per instance, shouldn't such fraudulent assignments negate any foreclosures, like my two, where those assignments broke the chain of title/holder in due course?

IF NOT, WHY NOT??

Thank you.

Lauren Scheffers

Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2012 15:50:05 -0500

To: "James, Thomas P." <TJames@atg.state.il.us>

From: Lauren Scheffers <LaurenScheffers@yahoo.com>

Subject: SCHEFFERS/ILSC TREASONOUS *DENIAL* OF Motion to Vacate Void Orders Pursuant to Section 2-619

Cc: "Rex E. Schlaybaugh, Jr." <rschlaybaugh@dykema.com>, pstanton@dykema.com, ajonker@dykema.com, jdougherty@dykema.com, "Codilis and Associates, P.C." <codilis-il@il.cslegal.com>, countyboard@willcountyillinois.com, countyexec@willcountyillinois.com, "Dunn, Martin, Miller & Heathcock" <marmil4@sbcglobal.net>, "Morrie Much" <mmuch@muchshelist.com>, "Robert J. Emanuel" <remanuel@muchshelist.com>, "Terry L. Engel" <engel@dlec.com>, "Paul M. Levy" <levy@dlec.com>, "Joel A. Stein" <stein@dlec.com>, Freedman Anselmo Lindberg <foreclosures@fal-illinois.com>, FAI-Illinois <fal-illinois.com@domainsbyproxy.com>

Mr. James,

On March 12, 2012, I e-mailed you two e-mails with the subject: SCHEFFERS 1 of 2/ILSC Motion to Vacate Void Orders Pursuant to Section 2-619. I made the following statement, "Mr. James, given the fact that no Justice of the Supreme Court of Illinois or the 2nd/3rd Appellate Courts has ever signed a single order, I fully expect to just receive yet another "notification"

letter that this Motion has been denied, as well."

On March 6, 2012, I had filed, and served upon each Justice of the Illinois Supreme Court individually with signature-required proofs of delivery (see attached Motion2VacateVoidNOFPOS.pdf) the **Motion to Vacate Void Orders Pursuant to Rule 2-619** (see attached Motion2VacateVoid.pdf).

Per the Appendix (see attached Motion2VacateVoidAppendixTOC.pdf), the critical submissions were the Nationwide Title Clearing Complaint and the Subpoena that used my Exhibits as submitted under Section 1 109 Certification to the Circuit Courts, the Appellate Courts, and the Supreme Court of Illinois. Yet, in both cases, Motions for Summary Judgment were granted with no "genuine issues of material fact".

As I predicted, in yesterday's USPS mail, I received yet another "notification letter" dated March 20, 2012 (see attached Motion2VacateVoidILSCLtrDeniedAst.pdf) allegedly from Carolyn Taft Grosboll, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Illinois, with the single word "DENIED" as the "order" "allegedly" entered by the Court to my **Motion to Vacate Void Orders Pursuant to Rule 2-619**.

Mr. James, over the past several months, I have submitted to you scanned PDFs of the several "alleged" Illinois Supreme Court rulings that have totally violated my rights to due process relative to my *two* wrongful, CRIMINAL foreclosures based on fraudulent property records. In fact, there is no competent evidence that any Justice has ever looked at my pleadings, before office workers mailed "notification letters" of motions DENIED.

With my **Motion for Service of Orders Signed by Supreme Court and Appellate Court Justices**, that was also allegedly denied, I submitted to the Justices of the Supreme Court the U.S. Supreme Court ruling:

Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is in violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. United States v. Sciuto, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

The U.S. Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggests that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

Mr. James, if the Justices of the Supreme Court of Illinois are acting without jurisdiction, can the Illinois Attorney General investigate the Justices, just as they would any other "ordinary" Illinois residents?

Previous submissions to you, in descending chronological order (that are also filed in the public record of the Will County Circuit Court for Case:2009CH3797):

1. As stated above, on March 12, 2012, I e-mailed you two e-mails with the subject: SCHEFFERS 1 of 2/ILSC Motion to Vacate Void Orders Pursuant to Section 2-619.
2. On January 29, 2012, I sent you an e-mail with the subject: SCHEFFERS And Yet *More* Blatant Treason by Illinois Supreme Court Justices
3. On January 13, 2012, I sent you an e-mail with the subject: SCHEFFERS Yet *More* Blatant Treason by Illinois Supreme Court Justices with the January 6, 2012 "notification letter" related to an alleged "order" that denied my Motion for Service of Orders Signed by Supreme Court and Appellate Court Justices and the January 10, 2012 "notification letter" related to an alleged "order" that denied my Motion to Extend Date of Final Mandate relative to my Aurora duplex, Case 113039.
4. On January 9, 2012, I sent you an e-mail with the subject: SCHEFFERS More Blatant Treason by Illinois Supreme Court Justices that included a scan of a "piece of paper" with not even a mention of a Justice name. Someone denied the Motion for Service of Orders Signed with a "piece of paper" without even mention of the name of a Justice.
5. On December 27, 2011, I sent you an e-mail with the subject: SCHEFFERS UPDATE Treason by Illinois Supreme Court Justices, where I received two rulings, allegedly by Chief Justice Kilbride of the Illinois Supreme Court, where his honor:
 - 1) Vacated a portion of a previous ruling allegedly by his honor and
 - 2) Corrected another order regarding indigent status, also allegedly by his honor.
6. On December 17, 2011, I sent you 6 e-mails with subjects of "SCHEFFERS 1 of 6, Treason by Illinois Supreme Court Justices" to "SCHEFFERS 6 of 6, Treason by Illinois Supreme Court Justices" due to the extensive competent evidence I had submitted as supporting Exhibits under the requisite Section 1 109 Certification for the **Motion for Service of Orders Signed by Supreme Court and Appellate Court Justices.**

Mr. James, as I have pointed out previously, the office staff of the Clerk of the Illinois Supreme Court SERVED THE CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT DIVISION OF THE ILLINOIS ATTORNEY GENERAL the competent evidence of the blatant treason of the Illinois Supreme Court Justices.

Will this recent "notification letter" sent to Springfield get "lost" in interoffice mail to the Chicago office, as appears to have happened with the other "notification letters" copied to the Criminal Enforcement Division?

Mr. James, given the fact that jurisdiction is the most fundamental legal requirement for any ruling to not be VOID, the Justices of the Supreme Court and the Second/Third Appellate Courts have consistently committed treason against the Constitution due to the blatant refusal to address a single one of the multitude of jurisdictional issues, such as the Plaintiff/Respondent not even being licensed to do business in Illinois.

Therefore, each/all of the Justices of all three Courts has/have committed treason against the Constitution, a criminal offense with no judicial immunity.

In addition, the Justices in all three Courts are accessories to the ongoing criminal enterprise of foreclosure fraud in Illinois.

Additionally, all Justices in all three Courts have violated Rule 63 by failing to refer these critical issues to the Illinois Attorney General, which is judicial misconduct, as well.

Mr. James, I have been waiting for exactly this worthless "piece of paper" that could have been mailed by the cleaning crew BEFORE GOING TO THE MAINSTREAM AND ALTERNATIVE MEDIA/PUBLISHING THIS TREASON/ACCESSORY TO FORECLOSURE FELONIES BY THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT JUSTICES ON THE INTERNET.

As I stated previously, please let Attorney General Lisa Madigan know that I have already purchased the domain names for ww w.OccupyJudicialSystem.com and ww w.OccupyJudicialSystem.org (URLs broken up intentionally).

It has been made perfectly clear that the Rule of Law does not exist in Illinois relative to criminal foreclosures, based on fraudulent property records.

Mr. James, with two former Illinois governors in a row convicted of corruption, should the Justices of the Supreme Court be investigated, as well, for this blatant treason against the Constitution and as accessories to an ongoing criminal enterprise with the foreclosure mill law firms?

Thank you.

Lauren Scheffers