

No. 1-08-0454

NOTICE: This order was filed under Supreme Court Rule 23 and may not be cited as precedent by any party except in the limited circumstances allowed under Rule 23(e)(1).

IN THE
APPELLATE COURT OF ILLINOIS
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	Appeal from the Circuit
)	Court of Cook County.
Plaintiff-Appellee,)	
)	
v.)	No. 05 CR 22969
)	
DENNIS TAYLOR,)	Honorable
)	Brian K. Flaherty,
Defendant-Appellant.)	Judge Presiding.

PRESIDING JUSTICE HOFFMAN delivered the judgment of the court.
Justices Karnezis and Cunningham concurred in the judgment.

ORDER

¶ 1 *Held:* The defendant's convictions and sentence are affirmed, and the mittimus is corrected, as previously ordered.

¶ 2 Following a jury trial, the defendant, Dennis Taylor, was convicted of two counts of first degree murder based on the commission of a forcible felony (720 ILCS 5/9-1(a)(3) (West 2004)), two counts of aggravated kidnapping (720 ILCS 5/10-2(a)(6) (West 2004)), and one count each of attempted armed robbery (720 ILCS 5/8-4, 18-2(a)(1) (West 2004)) and aggravated unlawful restraint (720 ILCS 5/10-3.1(a) (West 2004)). The trial court sentenced the defendant to serve two natural-life terms, one for each of the felony murder convictions, as well as a prison term of 25 years

No. 1-08-0454

for each of the aggravated kidnapping convictions, a 15-year term for attempted armed robbery, and a 5-year term for aggravated unlawful restraint.

¶ 3 On appeal, the defendant asserted that (1) the State failed to prove him guilty of felony murder beyond a reasonable doubt, (2) the trial court erred in instructing the jury regarding felony murder, (3) his trial counsel was ineffective in failing to tender proper jury instructions, (4) the trial court erred in denying his request for a post-trial evidentiary hearing to determine whether one of the jurors was prejudiced against him, and (5) the mittimus must be corrected. In July 2010, we rejected the defendant's first three arguments but retained jurisdiction and remanded the cause to the trial court with directions to conduct an evidentiary hearing on the issue of juror bias and to correct the mittimus. *People v. Taylor*, No. 1-08-0454 (2010) (unpublished order under Supreme Court Rule 23).

¶ 4 After conducting an evidentiary hearing, the trial court determined that there was no evidence of juror bias. On October 5, 2011, we ordered the defendant to file a report by October 26, 2011, indicating whether he intended to challenge the trial court's finding. We further ordered that, if the defendant elected to appeal that finding, he was required to file a supplemental record, consisting of the proceedings on remand, and a brief. Because the defendant has not filed such a report, nor has he filed the supplemental record or a brief raising the issue of juror bias, he has forfeited any challenge of the trial court's decision with regard to that issue. Accordingly, the defendant's convictions and sentence are affirmed, and the mittimus is corrected, as previously ordered.

¶ 5 Affirmed and mittimus corrected.