

APPENDIX

APPENDIX 1

STATE FISCAL YEAR 2007

STATEWIDE PRE-HEARING CALENDAR DATA

ARBITRATION PROGRAM	CASES PENDING HEARING 07/01/06 AS REPORTED	CASES REFERRED TO ARBITRATION	TOTAL CASES ON CALENDAR	PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS	PERCENT OF CASES ON PRE-HEARING CALENDAR DISPOSED PRIOR TO ARBITRATION HEARING	ARBITRATION HEARING	PERCENTAGE REFERRED TO HEARING	CASES PENDING HEARING 06/30/07
Boone	43	106	149	90	60%	12	8%	47
Cook	1,085	11,432	12,517	3,603	28%	8,957	71%	N/A
DuPage	1,338	3,748	5,086	3,997	78%	631	12%	458
Ford	7	30	37	23	62%	4	10%	10
Henry	25	85	110	76	69%	5	4%	29
Kane	221	1,229	1,450	1,108	76%	180	12%	162
Lake	627	1,830	2,457	1,540	62%	396	16%	521
McHenry	310	807	1,117	717	64%	139	12%	261
McLean	210	768	978	643	65%	66	6%	269
Mercer	22	22	44	22	50%	3	6%	19
Rock Island	213	354	567	318	56%	74	13%	175
St. Clair	126	1,911	2,037	1,638	80%	136	6%	263
Whiteside	83	117	200	117	58%	14	7%	69
Will	693	1,457	2,150	1,192	55%	308	14%	650
Winnebago	236	857	1,093	675	63%	113	10%	286

APPENDIX 2

STATE FISCAL YEAR 2007

STATEWIDE POST-HEARING CALENDAR DATA

ARBITRATION PROGRAM	CASES PENDING ON POST-HEARING CALENDAR 07/01/06 AS REPORTED	CASES ADDED	JUDGMENT ON AWARD	POST-HEARING PRE-REJECTION DISPOSITION DISMISSED	AWARDS REJECTED	AWARDS REJECTED AS A PERCENTAGE OF HEARINGS	TOTAL CASES AS A PERCENTAGE OF ALL WHICH WERE REJECTED 07/01/06 THROUGH 06/30/07	CASES PENDING 06/30/07
Boone	0	14	3	2	7	58%	4%	2
Cook	Data Not Available	8,957	2,102	3,525	4,894	54%	39%	Data Not Available
DuPage	Data Not Available	631	113	153	361	57%	7%	Data Not Available
Ford	4	4	4	2	1	25%	2%	1
Henry	1	5	3	2	1	20%	0%	0
Kane	39	180	27	42	112	62%	7%	38
Lake	47	397	69	94	221	55%	8%	60
McHenry	9	144	39	27	74	53%	6%	13
McLean	5	68	32	8	18	27%	1%	15
Mercer	1	3	1	3	0	0%	0%	0
Rock Island	6	74	9	25	38	51%	6%	8
St. Clair	15	136	63	24	49	36%	2%	15
Whiteside	3	14	0	7	6	42%	3%	4
Will	31	314	62	100	141	45%	6%	42
Winnebago	13	117	30	29	64	56%	5%	7

APPENDIX 3

STATE FISCAL YEAR 2007

STATEWIDE POST-REJECTION CALENDAR DATA

ARBITRATION PROGRAM	CASES PENDING ON POST-REJECTION CALENDAR 07/01/06 AS REPORTED	CASES ADDED	PRE-TRIAL POST-REJECTION DISPOSITIONS DISMISSALS	TRIALS	PERCENT OF TOTAL CASES ON PRE-HEARING CALENDAR PROGRESSING TO TRIAL 07/01/06 THROUGH 06/30/07	CASES PENDING 06/30/07
Boone	3	8	4	2	1%	5
Cook	Data Not Available	4,894	1,686	340	2%	2,428
DuPage	Data Not Available	361	361	57	1%	Data Not Available
Ford	0	1	0	1	2%	0
Henry	0	1	0	1	less than 1%	0
Kane	176	112	76	28	1%	184
Lake	58	226	173	36	1%	75
McHenry	36	76	53	16	1%	43
McLean	25	19	11	11	1%	22
Mercer	0	0	0	0	0%	0
Rock Island	44	40	42	17	2%	25
St. Clair	42	49	41	7	less than 1%	43
Whiteside	3	6	3	1	less than 1%	5
Will	61	142	104	32	1%	67
Winnebago	31	65	58	21	1%	17

APPENDIX 4

Percentage of Arbitration Eligible Cases in Total Civil Case Filings by County

Mandatory Arbitration Program	Civil Cases Filed in State Fiscal Year 2007	Arbitration Eligible Cases in State Fiscal Year 2007	Percentage of Arbitration Eligible Cases in Total Civil Case Filings
Boone County	2,004	106	5%
Cook County	385,839	11,432	2%
DuPage County	29,374*	3,748	12%
Ford County	446	30	6%
Henry County	2,144	85	3%
Kane County	17,512*	1,229	7%
Lake County	25,595	1,830	7%
McHenry County	10,715	807	7%
McLean County	7,602	768	10%
Mercer County	434	22	5%
Rock Island County	8,993	354	3%
St. Clair County	18,080	1,911	10%
Whiteside County	2,997	117	3%
Will County	28,243	1,457	5%
Winnebago County	18,027	857	4%

* Based on data collected from July through December 2006, an estimated annual projection of the total number of civil cases filed in DuPage and Kane County for State Fiscal Year 2007 was compiled.

The table above demonstrates the percentage of arbitration eligible cases in the total civil case filings for each county with a mandatory arbitration program. Statewide statistics indicate that a total of 24,753 cases were arbitration eligible out of the 558,005 civil cases filed in counties with a mandatory arbitration program in State Fiscal Year 2007. A statewide average of 4% of the total civil cases filed in court-annexed mandatory arbitration counties were eligible for arbitration proceedings.

APPENDIX 5

Supreme Court Rule 87. Appointment, Qualification and Compensation of Arbitrators

(a) List of Arbitrators. A list of arbitrators shall be prepared in the manner prescribed by a circuit rule. The list shall consist of a sufficient number of members of the bar engaged in the practice of law and retired judges within the circuit in which the court is situated.

(b) Panel. The panel of arbitrators shall consist of three members of the bar, or such lesser number as may be agreed upon by the parties, appointed from the list of available arbitrators, as prescribed by circuit rule, and shall be chaired by a member of the bar who has engaged in trial practice for at least three years or by a retired judge. Not more than one member or associate of a firm or office association of attorneys shall be appointed to the same panel.

(c) Disqualification. Upon appointment to a case, an arbitrator shall notify the court and withdraw from the case if any grounds appear to exist for disqualification pursuant to the Code of Judicial Conduct.

(d) Oath of Office. Each arbitrator shall take an oath of office in each county or circuit in which the arbitrator intends to serve on an arbitration panel. The oath shall be in conformity with the form provided in Rule 94 herein and shall be executed by the arbitrator when such arbitrator's name is placed on the list of arbitrators. Arbitrators previously listed as arbitrators shall be relisted on taking the oath provided in Rule 94.

(e) Compensation. Each arbitrator shall be compensated in the amount of ~~\$75~~
\$100 per hearing.

Adopted May 20, 1987, effective June 1, 1987; amended December 3, 1997, effective January 1, 1998; amended March 1, 2001, effective immediately; amended January 25, 2007, corrected January 26, 2007, effective ~~immediately~~ February 1, 2007.